

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

MAR 26 1996¹

From: <Kenneth.Wilson@mexia.com>
To: A16.A16(rm8775)
Date: 3/24/96 2:03pm
Subject: rm8775

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

I hope that the FCC doesn't try to put their nose where it doesn't belong.

The internet should not be regulated, if your worried about everything that is going on out there on the net, for instance pornography. Why don't you also try regulating something that's been around long before the internet was thought about. The telephone, if your going to take out rights away by censoring certain part and areas, then why not go all the way and hire people to listen to out telephone conversations, and fine us when we say or something that's not moral.

HELLO !

Oh also, Long Dist. tel Co's don't want people to be able to talk over the internet because they can make money off of it !!

Thankyou!

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

MAR 26 1996

From: <skigodsw@mexia.com>
To: A16.A16(rm8775)
Date: 3/24/96 5:35pm
Subject: rm8775

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

I urge the FCC not to side with the members of the ACTA in reference to the regulation of voice or any communications on the Internet. These companies are looking out for their own best interests, it is the job of the FCC to protect the interests of the American public.

Thank you,

Shane Wendel

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

From: <glee@mexia.com>
To: A16.A16(rm8775)
Date: 3/25/96 1:13am
Subject: Use of the Internet

MAR 26 1996

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

Dear Sir,

If knowledge is power, then regulating the Internet is similar to depriving people the right to share their knowlege. Does the FCC propose to regulate the "freedom of speech?"

Althought I can understand the concern of long distance carriers, these are the same people that have charged long distance rates in rural

Texas for towns that are no more than 8 to 10 miles apart for many years. This same distance in a larger city like Houston would have been part of regular service.

I believe that the long distance servers have grossly over-estimated the possiblity of voice communication through the Internet -- It is far cheaper for the average ammerican to use LD service than it is to afford the setup needed to use voice communications on the Internet.

I believe that even the FCC has realized that you can not monitor enough CB radio traffic to influence it usage. Does the FCC really think that a wire tap by themselves or any LD Carrie could prevent vx communication on the internet.

Gordon

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

MAR 26 1996

From: Eric Anderson <crusader@MO.NET>
To: A16.A16(rm8775)
Date: 3/26/96 1:06am
Subject: Informal Comment on the ACTA Petition

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

I ask that the FCC consider the facts in the Internet telephony issue. There is no difference if people transmit data in text or by voice on the Internet. The ACTA claim that voice on the net is a "misuse" is untrue and is totally unsubstantiated. The fact is that Internet bandwidth increases constantly because new users are paying their provider to access the net. The long distance companies should not be allowed to have a monopoly on interstate voice communication. In addition, the idea of classifying Internet Service Providers as long distance phone companies is absurd. If this is done, it would stunt the growth of the Internet and seriously cripple it as a viable communications medium. Please stop this insanity and deny the petition of the ACTA.

Thank you.

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

RECEIVED

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

MAR 26 1996

From: <Lythande@ecrknox.com>
To: A16.A16(rm8775)
Date: 3/26/96 2:26am
Subject: ACTA Petition

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

You know, we know, and we _know_ you know that ACTA is ***NOT*** acting in any way, shape, or form to protect the interests of consumers, but to protect the interests of their own wallets. They even as much as *say* so in their "petition" by citing that the use of these devices allows consumers to "bypass" conventional methods and "avoid long distance charges". They are acting on their own interests only, and if you allow them to win, then it is WELL KNOWN that you are only acting on your own interests as well to gain that all-mighty Tax Dollar.

You already are, by not allowing the people this ruling will most affect to "formally" respond using their most common media -- email. Maybe you consider this "informal," but I am _extremely_ formal about this.

Lythande lythande@ecrknox.com
Tammy A. Skidmore
P.O. Box 295
Gambier, OH 43022-0295

Why is it that some politicians define "democracy" as a government in which "the people" elect those that they wish to "RULE" them???

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

RECEIVED

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

MAR 26 1996

From: <mari@mexia.com>
To: A16.A16(rm8775)
Date: 3/24/96 1:50pm
Subject: RM 8775--No Way!

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

Dear Sirs:

In reference to RM 8775, I simply have to protest that this is simply a method for the communications companies to recover the revenues lost by people using the "free" Internet to communicate rather than the telephone. Please don't take away another freedom because another money-grabbing industry seeks a way to earn money from a new public interest. The Internet is a new life in the world. You have the opportunity to either strangle this newborn babe or give it room to grow and breath.

One problem this world has had is a lack of communication. The Internet supplies that connection! There is no basis in fact that we Net users are clogging the air with our use of the Net. Please give our world a chance...support Internet communications!

Sincerely,

Marietta T. Storey
(Mari@Mexia.com)

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

MAR 26 1996

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

From: Sandy Combs <sandy@von.org>
To: Kevin Werbach <rm8775@fcc.gov>
Date: 3/25/96 6:01pm
Subject: T E S T Message - FCC email address for comments on ACTA petition filing

Kevin,

Please reply.

Sandy

CC: FCCMAIL.SMTP("Jeff@Pulver.Com")

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE